UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,950	10/26/2007	Klaus Deinzer	042445.40088	3756
	7590 01/29/201 DERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAMINER		
1 MARITIME PLAZA, SUITE 300			TANNER, JOCELIN C	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,950	DEINZER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JOCELIN C. TANNER	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-51 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-51 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma			

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed 14 January 2010. Claims 34-51 are currently pending.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last
Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of the action mailed 17 August
2009 is withdrawn. This Office Action is a final action based on the amendments filed 6
May 2009 to overcome the rejections of the non-final Office Action mailed 25 November
2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 34-37, 40 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ott et al. (US Patent No. 6,447,520).
- 3. Regarding claims **34**, Ott et al. disclose a lens holder including two reinforced peripheral regions (70a, 70b), an elastic backing support (74a, 74b, 76) disposed between the two reinforced peripheral regions wherein the lens holder has an open position and closed position (column 6, lines 16-30), the backing support being formed of material that displays elasticity by allowing the backing support to be flexible and resilient such that the backing support is capable of returning to its original shape (Figs.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,950

Art Unit: 3731

4, 5), wherein the lens holder in the open position is capable of receiving an intraocular lens in a relaxed state, wherein the lens holder in the closed position is capable of holding an intraocular lens in an elastically deformed state to be injected into an eye, wherein the elastic backing support is in a relaxed state when the lens holder is in an open position and is in an elastically deformed state when the lens holder is closed.

Page 3

- 4. Regarding claim **35**, Ott et al. disclose a lens holder going from the open position (Fig. 4) to a closed position (Fig. 5) by bending the elastic backing support (74a, b, 76) at the hinge (76) to transform the backing support from a relaxed state to an elastically deformed state.
- 5. Regarding claims **36 and 40**, Ott et al. disclose a passage (62) capable of accommodating a deformed IOL that is formed by the elastic backing support (Fig. 3B).
- 6. Regarding claims **37**, **43** and **44**, Ott et al. disclose two undercuts (Fig. 3C) at a transition region between the elastic backing support (74a, b, 76) and each of the peripheral regions (70a, b), wherein one of the undercuts (90) is larger at one end of the lens holder to form an inlet portion for a haptic, the undercuts being capable of retaining and guiding edges of an IOL.
- 7. Regarding claim **42**, Ott et al. disclose a passage formed in the closed position of the lens holder having a snail-shaped cross section (Fig. 3C).
- 8. Regarding claims **45**, Ott et al. disclose peripheral regions (70) having a recess that is formed by the wall portion (90) so that when an intraocular lens is inserted, an edge of the intraocular lens is capable of passing unhindered (Fig. 3C).

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. Claims 38 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott et al. (US Patent No. 6,447,520) in view of Kelman (US Patent No. 5,190,552).
- 11. Regarding claims **38 and 46**, Ott et al. disclose a depression (84) that may extend a portion within the backing support (74a,b, 76) having a curvilinear chamfer (column 9, lines 1-5) to facilitate engagement of the IOL by the plunger rod (column 9, lines 57-62). However, Ott et al. fails to disclose a depression that is spherical.

Kelman teaches an IOL injector device including a spherical depression within the loading end (8) region from which the IOL is injected from the device (column 7, lines 40-47, Figs. 1, 6-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the lens holder of Ott et al., with a spherical depression, as taught by Kelman, to settle the lens and provide support for the lens on the platform before being ejected from the device(column 7, lines 40-47).

12. Claims 39, 41 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott et al. (US Patent No. 6,447,520) in view of Ott (US Patent No. 6,723,104).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,950

Art Unit: 3731

13. Regarding claims **39**, **41** and **47**, Ott et al. disclose all of the limitations previously discussed except for an elastic backing support having a tapered region at one end between the peripheral regions, the tapered region forming a guide for a push rod.

Page 5

Ott ('104) teaches an IOL insertion device including a cartridge (13) having a tapered transition portion (38) (column 5, lines 20-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a tapered region within the lens holder of Ott et al., as taught by Ott ('104), to compress the IOL into a more tightly folded configuration as it advances down the passage (column 5, lines 20-27).

- 14. Claims 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott et al. (US Patent No. 6,447,520) in view of Feingold (US Patent No. 5,499,987).
- 15. Regarding claim **49**, Ott et al. disclose all of the limitations previously discussed except for connectable peripheral regions.

Feingold teaches a lens holder including two extensions (116, 118) having an alignment groove (120) that connects with an alignment rib (122) (column 9, lines 64-67, column 10, lines 1-6, Fig. 37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the peripheral regions of Ott et al. with a groove and rib, as taught by Feingold, as means for aligning the peripheral regions (column 9, lines 64-67).

Art Unit: 3731

16. Regarding claim **51**, Feingold teaches a catch element for retaining a lens holder within a housing wherein protrusions (126, 128) serve as means for wedging and securing the peripheral regions (116, 118) within the slot of the implantation device. (column 9, lines 45-51, column 10, lines 6-40, Fig. 38).

- 17. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ott et al. (US Patent No. 6,447,520) in view of Buboltz et al. (US Patent No. 5,171,241).
- 18. Regarding claim **50**, Ott et al. disclose all of the limitations previously discussed except for peripheral regions having a gripping element.

Buboltz et al. teaches a protuberance (51) that extends slightly beyond the end of slot (52) to facilitate the removal of the holder (column 5, lines 30-40, Fig. 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the peripheral regions of Ott et al. with a gripping element, as taught by Buboltz et al., to facilitate removal of the device (column 5, lines 35-37).

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 14 January 2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Ott et al.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakajima et al. (US Patent No. 5,496,328) pertains to a lens holder for an IOL.

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOCELIN C. TANNER whose telephone number is (571)270-5202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday between 9am and 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jocelin C. Tanner/ 1/20/2010 Examiner, Art Unit 3731

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731 1/27/10